

# TORIC GEOMETRY

LOUIS DE THANHOFFER DE VOLCSEY

## Abstract

In this first session, we familiarize ourselves with the combinatorics of fans and describe the relationship with toric varieties. We provide many examples

---

## Contents

0	Overview . . . . .	1
1	Toric Varieties . . . . .	1
1.1	Polyhedral Cones . . . . .	3
2	Affine Toric Varieties . . . . .	5
3	Fans and (non-affine) Toric varieties . . . . .	7
4	Recovering the fan of a Toric Variety . . . . .	8
	References . . . . .	8

---

## 0 Overview

## 1 Toric Varieties

**Definition 1.0.1.** A toric variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  is an (irreducible) abstract variety  $X$  with an embedding  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \hookrightarrow X$  such that  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$  is Zariski-open and such that the canonical multiplication on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$  extends to an action of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$  on  $X$

Here are some examples:

**Example 1.0.2.** • Obviously  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  and  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

- $\mathbb{P}^n$  is toric as follows: consider the map

$$(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \longrightarrow U_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \{x_0 = 0\} : (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (1, t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

This identifies  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  with the open subvariety  $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{V}(x_0 \cdots x_n)$  and the rule

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \cdot (a_0, \dots, a_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_0, t_1 a_1, \dots, t_n a_n)$$

extends the action. (note that this choice is not canonical)

- There is a technique which provides a toric structure on affine varieties which have a specific type of parametrization. Assume  $X$  is an affine variety equipped with a bijective morphism

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} X$$

Then the restriction defines an embedding  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \hookrightarrow X$  as a Zariski open subset. Moreover if the component functions  $\Phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d)$  have the property that they are multiplicative in the sense that

$$(\phi_1(\underline{s}), \dots, \phi_d(\underline{s})) \cdot (\phi_1(\underline{t}), \dots, \phi_d(\underline{t})) = (\phi_1(\underline{st}), \dots, \phi_d(\underline{st}))$$

Then it is easy to see that the action

$$\underline{t} \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\phi_1(\underline{t}x_1), \dots, \phi_d(\underline{t}x_d))$$

will preserve any polynomial relation satisfied by  $(\phi_1(\underline{s}), \dots, \phi_d(\underline{s}))$  and hence lift the action of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  on itself. Below we list some specific examples:

- the cuspidal cubic  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{V}(y^2 - x^3)$  has a parametrization given by  $(t^2, t^3)$ , as a result we have the embedding  $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow X : t \mapsto (t^2, t^3)$ .  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $X$  by  $t \cdot (u, v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (t^2u, t^3v)$  since explicitly

$$(t^2u)^3 - (t^3v)^2 = t^6u^3 - t^6v^2 = t^6(u^3 - v^2) = 0$$

Note that the parametrization is multiplicative

- the conifold  $X = \mathbb{V}(xy - zw)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^4$  has a parametrization

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) \mapsto (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1t_2t_3^{-1})$$

and hence a canonical embedding of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ . The action is extended on  $X$  by

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) \cdot (x, y, z, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (t_1x, t_2y, t_3z, t_1t_2t_3^{-1}w)$$

again as the parametrization is multiplicative

- the surface  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{V}(xz - y^2)$  (the  $A_1$ -Du Val singularity, or the cone over the parabola  $\mathbb{V}(x - y^2)$ ) has the parametrization

$$(\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \rightarrow X : (t_1, t_2) \mapsto (t_1^2, t_1t_2, t_2^2)$$

which is again multiplicative and hence  $X$  is toric

- In a different context, the weighted projective line  $\mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_n)$  (where  $\gcd(q_1, \dots, q_n) = 1$ ) which is defined as  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  modulo the relation

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \sim (b_1 \dots b_n) \iff \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, (b_1 \dots b_n) = (\lambda^{q_1} a_0, \dots, \lambda^{q_n} b_n)$$

is also toric as follows: note that the image of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  is  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^*$  where  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is a subgroup by the map  $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda^{q_1}, \dots, \lambda^{q_n})$ . Now this group is canonically isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  by

$$((\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}/\mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}^*)^n : (x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \left( \frac{x_1}{x_0^{q_0}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0^{q_n}} \right)$$

In this way we obtain an embedding  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  and the action of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  induces an action of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  on  $\mathbb{P}(q_1, \dots, q_n)$ .

If you haven't worked with these weighted projective spaces, it's a good exercise to look at  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ : as in the usual case we look at subsets

$$U_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[a_0, \dots, a_n] \mid a_i \neq 0\}$$

The idea is that the weights now turn these  $U_i$ 's in (strict) subvarieties as opposed to affine spaces. since we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{on } U_0 : [a_0, a_1, a_2] = [1, \frac{a_1}{a_0}, \frac{a_2}{a_0}] \\ \text{on } U_1 : [a_0, a_1, a_2] = [\frac{a_0}{a_1}, 1, \frac{a_2}{a_1}] \end{array} \right\}$$

It's clear that the first two sets are simply affine space again. this trick doesn't work for  $U_2$  however. Here instead, invariant theory yields that  $U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 : [a_0, a_1, a_3] \mapsto (\frac{a_0^2}{a_3}, \frac{a_0 a_1}{a_3}, \frac{a_1^2}{a_3})$  is an embedding, yielding that  $U_2$  is isomorphic to the  $A_1$  singularity  $\mathbb{V}(xz - y^2)$  we saw above.

**1.1. Polyhedral Cones** The main philosophy in toric geometry is that certain combinatorial gadgets can be used to define (affine) toric varieties. The following observation conveys the idea:

**Lemma 1.1.1.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{C}) : (a_1, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$$

As such the algebra generated by the group of characters corresponds to a torus. The idea is now to take different lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to create toric varieties in a similar way. This turns out to have some technical issues. To resolve this, we change the base ring to  $\mathbb{R}$  and keep track of the underlying lattice.

**Convention 1.1.2.** *Throughout, we fix a lattice  $M$ , and denote its dual lattice  $N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$  and the canonical pairing will be denoted  $\langle -, - \rangle$*

**Definition 1.1.3.** A polyhedral cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a set of the form

$$\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{cone}(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sum_{p \in S} \lambda_p p \mid \lambda_p \geq 0 \right\}$$

This set is always

- convex since for  $x, y, \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \sigma$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Indeed we have

$$\lambda \left( \sum_p \alpha_p p \right) + (1 - \lambda) \left( \sum_p \beta_p p \right) = \sum_p (\lambda \alpha_p + (1 - \lambda) \beta_p) p$$

and it's an easy exercise to see that these coefficients are positive if  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

- conic as  $x \in \sigma \implies \lambda x \in \sigma$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$

**Definition 1.1.4.** Let  $\sigma$  be a polyhedral cone. Its dual is given by

$$\sigma^\vee \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \mid \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0, u \in \sigma\}$$

(i.e. all vectors whose angle  $\leq |\frac{\pi}{2}|$ ) with  $\sigma$ .

If  $u \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ , we get the hyperplane

$$H_u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (u)^\perp$$

and the half-space

$$H_u^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle v, u \rangle \geq 0\}$$

Similarly,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  defines a hyperplane and half space in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$

**Definition 1.1.5.** A *face* is a subset of  $\sigma$  of the form  $H_u \cap \sigma$  for  $u \in \sigma^\vee$ . A *facet* is a face of codimension 1

It is easy to see that for  $\sigma = \text{cone}(S)$ , we have  $\sigma^\vee = \bigcap_{u \in S} H_u^+$ . This is how one usually draws the dual polyhedral cone.

**Lemma 1.1.6.** *We have  $\sigma^{\vee\vee} = \sigma$*

*Proof.* We defer the proof till later ✗

the following property will be important for our applications:

**Proposition 1.1.7.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a polyhedral cone. TFAE:*

- $\sigma \cap -\sigma = 0$
- *sigma doesn't contain a line*
- *0 is a face*
- $\dim \sigma^\vee = n$

We won't prove this proposition, as it's intuitive enough. Instead we make the following:

**Definition 1.1.8.** A polyhedral cone which satisfies the above is *strongly convex*

finally, we will be concerned with knowing when the cone comes from a lattice. So pick a cone  $\sigma \in N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . We say that it's rational if  $\sigma = \text{cone}(S)$  for  $(S) \in N$

Intuitively (assuming some choice of basis), a face is made by intersecting the cone with the zero locus of an equation which yields positive values on the cone itself, or put differently which cuts the space in such a way that the cone stays is completely on one side. Here are some properties of faces:

**Lemma 1.1.9.** • *a face is a polyhedral cone*

- *an intersection of two faces is a face*
- *a face of a face of  $\sigma$  is a face of  $\sigma$*

*Proof.* The first point is trivial: let  $\sigma = \text{cone}(S)$  then  $H_u \cap \sigma = \text{cone}(S \cap H_u)$ .

For the second point, we must show that  $\sigma \cap H_u \cap H_{u'} \subset \sigma \cap H_{u+u'}$ . Indeed, the left inclusion is trivial. For the right inclusion, assume that  $\langle x, u + u' \rangle = 0$ , then  $\langle x, u \rangle + \langle x, u' \rangle = 0$  but both numbers are positive as  $u, u' \in \sigma^\vee$  by definition.

For the third point, first some intuition. We cut a cone  $\sigma$  along a plane which keeps  $\sigma$  to one side to obtain a cone  $\tau$  and perform the same operation with a plane which now keeps  $\tau$  to one side and we must show that we can 'incline' this plane so that it actually keeps the whole of  $\sigma$  to one side.

Consider  $\tau = \sigma \cap H_u$  with  $u \in \sigma^\vee$  and  $\gamma = \tau \cap H_{u'}$  for  $u' \in \tau^\vee$ . Assume  $\sigma = \text{cone}(S)$  and  $\tau = \text{cone}(S')$ . Then  $\langle S \setminus S', u \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle S', u' \rangle \geq 0$ , since all sets are finite, by picking  $\lambda$  large enough, we can ensure that  $\langle S, u' + \lambda u \rangle \geq 0$ , i.e.  $u' + \lambda u \in \sigma^\vee$ . Now, we claim that

$$\tau \cap H_{u'} = \sigma \cap H_{u'+\lambda u}$$

Indeed, the lhs is  $\sigma \cap H_u \cap H_{u'}$ , and the left inclusion is trivial. Conversely, picking an element in  $\sigma$  such that  $\langle x, u' + \lambda u \rangle = 0$ , implies  $\langle x, u' \rangle + \lambda \langle x, u \rangle = 0$ , now the second number is certainly positive as  $u \in \sigma^\vee$ , but the first also since otherwise we can find an element  $y \in \sigma$  such that  $\langle y, u + \lambda u' \rangle < 0$  by considering the component of  $x$  which lies on  $H_u$  ✗

A little more technical to prove is:

**Lemma 1.1.10.** *Any face is the intersection of its facets.*

*Proof.* This reduces to showing that any face of codimension greater than 1 is contained in a larger face. We omit the proof ✗

One can reconstruct the cone and its dual out of its facets, for this, we use an intuitive topological fact:

**Lemma 1.1.11.** *the boundary of a polyhedral cone is the union of its faces (or facets)*

**Theorem 1.1.12.** *Assume the facets of  $\sigma$  are given by  $\tau_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cap H_{u_i}$ , then*

- $\sigma = H_{u_1}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{u_n}^+$
- $\sigma^\vee = \text{cone}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$

*Proof.* For the first item, the right inclusion is obvious. Conversely, assume  $v$  lies in the intersection of these halfplanes but not in  $\sigma$ . Take a point in the interior of  $\sigma$  and consider the line segment  $\overline{vv'}$  this is compact and hence has a furthest point  $w \in \sigma$ . Then  $w$  lies in the boundary of  $\sigma$  and hence on a facet.  $\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \cap H_{u_\tau}$ . Then  $\langle w, u_\tau \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle v', u_\tau \rangle \geq 0$  and since  $w$  is the furthest point,  $\langle v, u_\tau \rangle < 0$ , a contradiction.

We do not prove the second point. ✗

*proof of lemma 1.1.6 .* ✗

## 2 Affine Toric Varieties

We consider a lattice  $N$  and let  $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$  denote the dual lattice. We say that a polyhedral cone is rational if it is of the form  $\text{cone}(S)$  for  $S \in N$ . For a rational polyhedral cone  $\sigma$ , we define:

**Definition 2.0.13.**  $S_\sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma^\vee \cap M$

**Lemma 2.0.14.**  $S_\sigma$  is a semigroup

*Proof.* Trivial ✗

**Theorem 2.0.15** (Gordan).  $S_\sigma$  is finitely generated

*Proof.* let  $\sigma = \text{cone}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sum t_i u_i \mid 0 \leq t_i \leq 1\}$ . Then  $K \cap M$  is finite, being an intersection of a compact with a discrete. Then  $K \cap M$  is a generator for  $S_\sigma$ . Indeed, let  $u = \sum \lambda_i u_i \in \sigma^\vee$ , then  $\lambda_i = m_i + t_i$  with  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$  and  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u = \sum m_i u_i + \sum t_i u_i$  and both  $u_i$  and  $\sum t_i u_i$  lie in  $K \cap M$  ✗

We now consider the semigroup algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S_\sigma]$  and sometimes denote the basis elements by  $\chi^u$  for  $u \in S_\sigma$  and write  $\chi^u \cdot \chi^{u'} = \chi^{u+u'}$

**Lemma 2.0.16.**  $\mathbb{C}[S_\sigma]$  is an integral domain

*Proof.* trivial since  $S_\sigma$  is a lattice ✗

the above lemmas allow us to make the following definition

**Definition 2.0.17.** Let  $N$  be a lattice and  $\sigma$  a scrap. Then the toric variety of  $\sigma$  is defined as

$$X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S_\sigma])$$

We shall prove that  $X_\sigma$  is a toric variety through a series of lemmas. The key fact here is how the semigroup of a cone relates to the semigroup of one of its faces:

**Lemma 2.0.18.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a cone with face  $\tau = H_m \cap \sigma$ . Then*

$$S_\tau = S_\sigma + \mathbb{N}(-m)$$

*Proof.* Intuitively, this states that any element  $w$  in  $\tau^\vee$  may be negative on  $\sigma$ , however, there is a large enough  $\alpha$  so that  $w + \alpha u$  is nonnegative on the whole of  $\sigma$  ✗

This allows us to compare the affine varieties associated to cones and faces:

**Lemma 2.0.19.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a cone with face  $\tau = H_u \cap \sigma$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{C}[S_\tau] = \mathbb{C}[S_\sigma]_{\chi_u}$$

Hence  $X_\tau$  is a principal open subset of  $\sigma$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the above ✗

**Theorem 2.0.20.** *for any scrap,  $X_\sigma$  is an affine toric variety.*

*Proof.* We know that  $X_\sigma$  is an irreducible affine variety. Now  $\sigma$  is *strongly* convex,  $0$  is a face of  $\sigma$ . Hence we have the open distinguished corresponding  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[S_0])$ , which we know is a torus by the first example below. This shows that  $X_\sigma$  contains an open torus. To see how the action of the torus extends to the whole of  $X_\sigma$ , we introduce generators for the semigroup  $S_\sigma$ ,  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ . Then we have a composition

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[s_\sigma] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$$

where we identified characters on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  with Laurent polynomials. On the associated varieties, we see that the torus is embedded in  $S_\sigma$  as follows:

$$(\mathbb{C}^*)^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1^{m_1}, \dots, t_r^{m_r})$$

The morphism extends to an action of the torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$  on the whole of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by

$$(t_1 \dots t_n) \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (t_1^{m_1} x_1 \dots t_r^{m_r} x_r)$$

The density of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$  in  $X_\sigma$  implies that this action restricts to one on  $X_\sigma$  ✗

We conclude this section by giving some examples:

**Example 2.0.21.** • *The most trivial cone is  $\sigma = \{0\}$ . In this case  $\sigma^\vee = (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  and  $S_\sigma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , hence  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n]) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$*

- *On the other side of the spectrum, we can take  $\sigma = \text{cone}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Then it is clear that this cone is self dual and we obtain  $S_\sigma = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  so that  $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n]) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \mathbb{C}^n$*
- *let  $\sigma = \text{cone}(2e_1 - e_2, e_2)$ . Then the dual cone is generated by the inward pointing normals  $\sigma^\vee = \text{cone}(e_1, e_1 + 2e_2)$ . Those two generators do not however generate the full semigroup  $S_\sigma$ . Instead we have  $S_\sigma = \sigma^\vee \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_1 + e_2, e_1 + 2e_2]$ . We let  $x = \chi^{e_1}, y = \chi^{e_1 + e_2}, z = \chi^{e_1 + 2e_2}$ . Then it is clear that  $xz - y^2 = 0$ , and it's not hard to in fact show that this is the only relation so that*

$$\mathbb{V}(xz - y^2) = X_\sigma$$

- Let  $\sigma = \text{cone}(e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_3, e_2 + e_3) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . The dual cone is generated by the inward pointing normals  $\sigma^\vee = \text{cone}(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1 + e_2 - e_3)$ . We let  $x = \chi^{e_1}, y = \chi^{e_2}, z = \chi^{e_3}, w = \chi^{e_1 + e_2 - e_3}$ . Then  $xy - zw = 0$  and again this is the only relation so that

$$\mathbb{V}(xy - zw) = X_\sigma$$

**Theorem 2.0.22.** Let  $X$  be an affine normal toric variety, then there exists a scrap  $\sigma$  such that  $X_\sigma = X$

The role of normality will be deferred to a next lecture

### 3 Fans and (non-affine) Toric varieties

We now have a rather decent understanding of how scraps relate to affine toric varieties. In this section, we show how one can more generally consider *fans*, built out of scraps (!) to provide the glueing data required to build more general toric varieties

**Definition 3.0.23.** a fan  $\Sigma$  is a finite collection of scraps such that

- each face of a cone in  $\Sigma$  is a cone in  $\Sigma$
- the intersection of two cones is a face in each of them

Essentially, we glue scraps together along their faces. To see how a fan defines the glueing data needed to construct abstract varieties, we need the following lemma concerning the intersection of cones:

**Theorem 3.0.24.** Let  $\tau = \sigma \cap \sigma'$  be a face of two scraps in a fan. Then for each  $u$  in the relative interior of  $\sigma \cap (-\sigma')^\vee$ ,

$$\tau = H_u \cap \sigma = H_u \cap \sigma'$$

Meaning that a face always has an inward pointing normal in both cones. We now glue the different scraps  $\sigma$  in the fan  $\Sigma$  along each face  $\sigma \cap \sigma'$  according to the transition morphisms which are the composition of the open embeddings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\sigma & & X_{\sigma'} \\ & \swarrow & \nearrow \\ & X_{\sigma \cap \sigma'} & \end{array}$$

Note that by the above theorem and the fact that going from cone to face is localizing at the character defined by the inward pointing normal, we have that these transition functions are induced by

$$\mathbb{C}[S_\sigma]_{\chi^u} \cong \mathbb{C}[S_{\sigma \cap \sigma'}] \cong \mathbb{C}[S'_{\sigma'}]_{\chi^{-u}}$$

**Theorem 3.0.25.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a fan. Then  $S_\Sigma$  is a separated toric variety

*Proof.* The above shows that  $S_\Sigma$  is an abstract variety. To show that  $S_\Sigma$  contains a torus as an open subset, note that by construction, each cone yields an open affine subset. Since  $0$  is a cone (as it is a face of each cone),  $X_0 \subset S_\Sigma$  yields a torus. Moreover it is an open subset of each  $X_\sigma$  in a compatible way. Since  $X_0$  is dense on each open subset of a finite cover, it is itself dense in  $S_\Sigma$ . To see if the action of  $X_0$  on itself extends to the whole of  $S_\Sigma$ , note that it extends to each  $X_\sigma$  by the affine case, the claim will thus follow since the transition functions are trivially equivariant. It remains to show that  $S_\Sigma$  is separated, i.e. whether the diagonal map is closed, this reduces to checking this cone-wise □

**Theorem 3.0.26.** *Let  $X$  be a separated toric variety. Then there exists a fan  $\Sigma$  such that  $X = X_\Sigma$*

We end the lecture by stating some examples of fans and their associated toric varieties:

**Example 3.0.27.** *Recall that a possible construction of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is made by glueing together three affine spaces with coordinate rings  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$  with along the open subsets  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$  with transition functions  $(x, y) \rightarrow (\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y})$ , yielding the obvious fan.*

**Example 3.0.28.** *Similarly, the smooth quadric surface  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  can be defined by the following gluing data:*

*Alternatively, we can define the product of two cones  $\sigma \times \sigma'$  and extend this to fans  $\Sigma \times \Sigma'$  by taking all possible products of cones to prove that  $X_\Sigma \times X_{\Sigma'} = X_{\Sigma \times \Sigma'}$*

**Example 3.0.29.** *Let's consider the weighted projective space  $X = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ . We first describe it by glueing affine varieties. In fact the different weightings imply that  $X$  is obtained by glueing two affine spaces and a copy of the  $A_1$ -singularity:*

## 4 Recovering the fan of a Toric Variety

The proof of the fact that each toric variety is built out of a fan is a little too involved, and is an interpretation of the cone-orbit theorem. The subject of next week's talk

## References